

Probation Violation Work Group

Chair _____

Members _____

Discussion Topics	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) What is the purpose of a PVs? (Public safety, accountability, ??) 2) Are all PVs the same? (public safety concerns vs. technical violations) 3) Should there be a difference between PVs for low, moderate, high risk offenders? 4) Should there be a difference between PVs for misdemeanor and felony offenses? 5) Should Probation Violations exceed Standard Range Confinement time? 6) Should there be consistency between POs regarding # and ALOS for PVs?
Goal(s) for Work Group	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Strive to develop Court Orders that are reasonable for youth to complete successfully 2) Work with Judiciary to base court orders on WA State Risk Assessment 3) Reduce the unnecessary use of Detention for Probation Violations 4) Develop a range of sanctions from least to most restrictive 5) Utilize alternatives to Detention for PVs 6) Determine if sanctioning grid or supervisory staffing model will be used 7) Standardize recommendations among Probation Officers
JDAI Pathway Reference	Pathways #9 – Minors Detained on Probation Violations Chapter 3, pages 20-33
Other JDAI Models	King & Multnomah County Sanctioning Grids & Pierce County Supervisor Staffings
Current Situation Analysis	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) ___% of Secure Detention ADP used for Probation Violations 2) ___% of Detention Alternatives ADP used for Probation Violations 3) ___# of Probation Violations & ALOS by Probation Officer
Meeting Schedule	This Work Group will meet ___ times per month @ time _____ @ location_____
Measuring Recommendations	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Compare current % Detention ADP used for Probation Violations to new practices 2) Compare current % of Detention Alternatives ADP to new practices 3) Compare # of Probation Violations & ALOS by Probation Officer for differences
Deadline for Recommendations	Set Work Group Leader in discussion with JDAI Executive Committee